



state programs that have been determined to be inadequate. APGA believes that any funding cuts from a finding that a state damage prevention program is inadequate should be limited to damage prevention grants money. The general pipeline safety funding for the state should not be reduced. In many states, the pipeline safety agency is not the agency responsible for enforcing damage prevention laws. In most states, the legislature must act to enact effective damage prevention and the pipeline safety agency is subservient to the legislature, not vice versa.. Neither the damage prevention grants nor the general pipeline safety grants is sufficiently large to overcome legislative resistance, but cutting pipeline safety grants would negatively affect the resources available for pipeline safety in the state.

APGA appreciates the opportunity to provide input to PHMSA on this issue. APGA welcomes any questions regarding these comments.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Bert Kalisch". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Bert" and last name "Kalisch" clearly distinguishable.

---

Bert Kalisch, President & CEO